



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/362,635

07/21/1999

RAJIV RAMASWAMI

11686US02

7103

7590

05/12/2005

ROBERT B POLIT
MCANDREWS HELD & MALLOY LTD
500 W MADISON 34TH FLOOR
CHICAGO, IL 60661

EXAMINER

HSU, ALPUS

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2665

DATE MAILED: 05/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/362,635	Applicant(s) RAMASWAMI ET AL.	
	Examiner Alpus H. Hsu	Art Unit 2665	

All Participants:

(1) Alpus H. Hsu.

(2) Cheryl Fernandez.

Date of Interview: 9 May 2005

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: allowed

(3) cc. Joseph M. Barich.

(4) _____

Time: 11:30 A.M.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
potential 112, 2nd paragraph rejection regarding claims 28 and 29, and 102 (e) rejection regarding claims 24-27

Claims discussed:
24-29

Prior art documents discussed:
Sakurai et al. (Pub. No. US 2002/0126649 A1)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

ALPUS H. HSU
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The applicants and the examiner had agreed upon the proposed language changes regarding the improper usage of the word "proposing" appeared in the specification as in the amendment filed June 14, 2004, page 6, lines 6-8, 12, 14, 16, 17 and 20, and claim 28, lines 1-3, 7, claim 29, lines 1, 3, 4, 7-8 to overcome the 112, 2nd paragraph rejection, and the proposed changes of using the terms of "physically coupling" and "predetermined channels" in claims 24-27 to overcome the 102 (e) rejection. The applicant also agreed to submit 312 amendment with all the changes agreed upon prior to the issue fee being paid. .